



Republika e Kosovës • Republika Kosovo • Republic of Kosovo Institucioni i Avokatit të Popullit • Institucija Ombudsmana • Ombudsperson Institution

Prishtinë, 9 October 2019 Mr. Fitim Sadiku, General Secretary Ministry of Foreign Affairs

RECOMMENDATION LETTER

Complaint No. 363/2019

Versus

Ministry of Foreign Affairs

Dear Mr. Sadiku,

The Ombudsperson, pursuant to Article 16, paragraph 1, of the Law No. 05/L-019 on Ombudsperson and according to Article 10 of the Law No. 03/L-215 on Access to Public Documents, ¹ on 25 April 2019, admitted a complaint of Mrs. Lindita Çela, journalist of Organized Crime and Corruption Reporting Project (OCCRP), lodged versus the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MFA), due to the failure to respond on her request to access public documents. Complainant, on 13 and on 26 March 2019, through the e-mail, submitted requested for access to official documents, actually access to the following: *Names of persons declared Honorary Consuls outside the Republic of Kosovo; Names of persons declared Honorary Consuls in the Republic of Kosovo; Copies of files on the CV of the Honorary Consuls who exercise their activity on behalf of the Republic of Kosovo; What were the criteria that the MFA has set in declaring Honorary Consuls? Who were the persons or countries that have submitted the proposal for decree by the MFA of the Republic of Kosovo for Honorary Consuls?* Until submission of the complaint at the Ombudsperson Institution the complainant did not receive any response from the MFA.

The Ombudsperson, on 23 May 2019, addressed a letter to the Secretary General of the MFA, through which he requested to be informed regarding actions that the MFA has undertaken concerning complainant's request for access to documents required. On 12 July 2019, the Ombudsperson addressed again with the letter to the Secretary General of the MFA related to the complaint but no response has been served to him.

Commencing from actions undertaken by the Ombudsperson in relation to the MFA and with the intention of handling complainant's request, the Ombudsperson reiterates that the Constitution of Republic of Kosovo, in Article 41, paragraph 1, foresees the right to Access Public Documents: "Every person enjoys the right of access to public documents". While

_

¹ Law No. 06/L-081 on Access to Public Documents has entered into force on July 19, 2019, while the request addressed from the complainant was lodged prior to entrance of the new Law in effect.

paragraph 2 of the same Article stipulates that: "Documents of public institutions and organs of state authorities are public, except for information that is limited by law due to privacy, business trade secrets or security classification"

The right to be informed is the right guaranteed by the Universal Declaration on Human Rights, Article 19 of which determines: "Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers." Freedom to hold and to give information is foreseen with Article 10, paragraph 1 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) - [Freedom of expression]: "Everyone has the right to freedom of expression. This right shall include freedom to hold opinions and to receive and impart information and ideas without interference by public authority and regardless of frontiers...". The spirit of Article 41 of the Constitution has been forwarded also on Article 1 of the LAPD, according to which: "This Law shall guarantee the right of every person, without discrimination on any grounds, to access public documents produced, received, maintained or controlled by public institutions, as well as the right to re-use the public sector documents."

The Ombudsperson observes that the failure of MFA to respond on complainant's request, despite the fact that is in contradiction with the LAPD but it is also opposite with the Law No. 05 / L-031 on General Administrative Procedure, actually the principle of open administration, set out in Article 9, paragraph 1:"*Public organs shall act with transparency.*" Additionally, the lack of decision in the form prescribed by law draws also the lack of notification of the party to the right of appeal, which also constitutes a violation of the right to use legal remedies.

The Ombudsperson reiterates that European Court on Human Rights (ECtHR) practice, according to Article 53 of the Constitution, represents the bases for interpretation of human rights. Furthermore, the Ombudsperson observes that ECtHR in its practice continuously has judged that: "Freedom of expression constitutes one of the essential foundations of a democratic society; subject to paragraph (2) of Article 10 of the ECHR and is applicable not only to 'information' or 'ideas' that are favourably received or regarded [...] Not only does the press have the task of imparting such information and ideas but the public also has a right to receive them. Were it otherwise, the press would be unable to play its vital role of 'public watchdog"." Furthermore, in case Lingens v. Austria, the ECtHR recalls: "[...] Such are the demands of that pluralism, tolerance and broadmindedness without which there is no "democratic society". These principles are of particular importance as far as the press is concerned. "[...]. 3

The Ombudsperson estimates that exceptions from the right of access to documents are stipulated in Article 17 of the LAPD. Information can be limited solely on the purpose of protection of public legitimate interests, of life or other private legitimate interests, determined by the legislation at force.

The Ombudsperson finds that, in the complainant's case, the, MFA has failed to comply with the positive obligations related to permitting, namely granting access to public documents in

² Case of Observer and Guardian V. The United Kingdom, (Application no. 13585/88, 26 November 1991. Paragraph 59 (a and b).

³ Case of Lingens V. Austria (Application no. 9815/82), 8 July 1986, paragraph 41.).

accordance with the request filed by the complainant, which is sanctioned by national acts as well as international instruments.

Legal obligation for cooperation with the Ombudsperson Institution

The Ombudsperson ascertains that the MFA, apart the failure to meet obligations which derive from the Constitution of Republic of Kosovo (Article 41 and Article 132, paragraph 3), has also failed to fulfill obligations which arise from the LAPD and the Law on Ombudsperson (Article 25), based on which, all authorities have the liability to respond on Ombudsperson's request for conducting investigations as well as provide appropriate assistance according to his requests. Refusing of civil servant, public official or public authority to cooperate with the Ombudsperson is a reason why the Ombudsperson may request, from competent authority, initiation of administrative proceedings, including disciplinary measures undertaking, dismissal from a workplace or civil service. In case the institution refuses to cooperate or intervenes into the investigation process, the Ombudsperson has the right to request from the competent prosecution, initiation of legal proceedings for intrusion in accomplishing official duties.

With the aim to increase respect of the right to access public documents, as an constitutional and legal right, so that citizens and civil society exercises this right, as a firm tool for overseeing the work of authorities that would influence on improving the work of state bodies and increase transparence and accountability, the Ombudsperson, pursuant to Article 135, paragraph 3 of the Constitution of Republic of Kosovo,

RECOMMENDS

The Ministry of Foreign Affairs

- To review complainant's request and to respond to her requirement, in consistency with the Law no.06/L-081 on Access to Public Documents and in conformity with relevant legislation at force.
- To respond to Ombudsperson's requirements.

In compliance with Article 132, paragraph 3, of the Constitution of Republic of Kosovo ("Every organ, institution or other authority exercising legitimate power of the Republic of Kosovo is bound to respond to the requests of the Ombudsperson and shall submit all requested documentation and information in conformity with the law") and Article 28 of the Law No.05/L-019 on Ombudsperson, ("Authorities to which the Ombudsperson has addressed recommendation, request or proposal for undertaking concrete actions, including disciplinary measures, must respond within thirty (30) days. The answer should contain written reasoning regarding actions undertaken about the issue in question"), You are kindly asked to inform us on steps to be undertaken regarding this issue.

Warmly submitted
Hilmi Jashari
Ombudsperson